# Differential Ion Mobility Separations in Pure Helium and He Mixtures Using Microchips

Alexandre A. Shvartsburg, Yehia Ibrahim, Richard D. Smith Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

## Overview

- FAIMS microchips extremely resistant to electrical breakdown, permit separations in 100% He and all He mixtures with any gas
- We explored the dependences of FAIMS separation parameter (compensation field,  $E_{\rm C}$ ), resolution, and resolution/sensitivity balance for peptides and metabolities on the He fraction in He/N<sub>2</sub> buffers across the full composition range
- Evaluation involved Owlstone chips of generations I (35 um gap) and current II (100 um gap).
- Evolution of  $E_{\rm C}$  values between N<sub>2</sub> and He can be rationalized from first principles, showing the path to *a priori* physical theory for FAIMS separations

# Introduction

- Differential or Field Asymmetric waveform IMS (FAIMS) separations depend on the buffer gas composition much stronger than those using conventional (linear) IMS as the nonlinearity of FAIMS magnifies small effects of specific ion-molecule interactions on ion mobility, K[1]
- Addition of helium to the buffer (typically nitrogen) broadly raises FAIMS resolution because of:
- (1) Light gas effect Resolving power scales as  $\sim K^{1/2}$  and thus increases with lighter gases [1, 2]
- (2) Non-Blanc effect
- High-field mobilities in mixtures deviate from weighted averages between *K* values in pure components. This tends to increase  $E_{\rm C}$  and thus the resolving power [1].
- Electrical breakdown limits "full-size" FAIMS devices at maximum waveform amplitude (dispersion voltage) to 50% He, so 1:1 He/N<sub>2</sub> has become the buffer of choice.
- Mobilities measured by linear IMS can be related to ion geometries by matching to computed values [3]. That has not been achieved for FAIMS because high-field ion mobilities are much harder to model. Simplest ionmolecule interactions are for He, which has helped interpreting IMS data and would do so for FAIMS.
- Hence enabling FAIMS in helium is desired for both fundamental and analytical reasons

# Methods **Fundamental premise**

with decreasing gap width (g): for  $N_2$ ,

(g = 0.5 - 2.5 mm). The actual  $E_{D} \sim 60 \text{ kV/cm}$ 

#### Implementation

FAIMS microchips (Owlstone Ltd., Cambridge, UK) are etched (with 50% open surface) from silicon wafers, wired via gold vapor deposition, packaged, and mounted on a printed circuit board [4].



Bare chip ( $g = 35 \mu m$ )

Chip mounts are inserted into the ion path prior to the MS inlet (heated capillary). We explored two systems:

#### System I

With Thermo MS platforms (here, LTQ Ion trap [6]): Chip ( $g = 35 \mu m$ , 0.3 mm thick) spaced from the capillary face; Temperature (*T*) ~ 40 - 60 °C; Filtering time ( $t \sim 20 - 80 \ \mu s$ ) adjusted by throttling the gas suction behind the chip; Dispersion voltage (DV) ~ 210 V; Normalized  $E_{\rm D} \sim 240 - 260 \, {\rm Td}$ 



Front of the LTQ ion trap with FAIMS device in place

- By Paschen law, the breakdown field ( $E_{BR}$ ) for any gas goes up
- from ~30 kV/cm at g = 2 mm to ~170 kV/cm at g = 0.035 mm [4].
- Hence FAIMS chips with multichannel gaps [5] of  $g = 35 100 \,\mu m$ can use much higher dispersion field ( $E_D$ ) than "full-size" devices
- (limited by the waveform generator) is just ~35% of  $E_{BR}$ .
- Therefore FAIMS microchips should allow high He fractions.



**Chip on PCB** 

#### System II

With Agilent MS platforms

Chip ( $g = 100 \ \mu m, 0.7 \ mm$ 

thick) affixed to the capillary

Filtering time ( $t \sim 250 \ \mu s$ )

(here, 6538 ToF MS):

with  $T = 120 - 150 \,^{\circ}\text{C};$ 

defined by gas flow through the capillary (1.2 L/min); DV ~ 550 V; Normalized  $E_{\rm D} \sim$ 300 - 320 Td

Complete FAIMS instrument with the control module

### **Resolving power and resolution for peptides**



but are weaker: relative changes between 0 and 100% He here at most compare to those with fill-size units between 0 and 50 % He [7]. This is because stronger fields in microchips lead to higher-energy ion-molecule scattering on the repulsive potential wall, which diminishes the distinction between more and less polarizable gas molecules.

Analogous data for phosphopeptide APLpSFRGSLPKSYVK (1729 Da), z = 2 and 3



Adding He increases resolving power, narrows peaks, improves resolution; all less so than with full-size devices

# Results



Improvement of resolving power critical for peptide separations, even if less than with full-size devices

### Metabolite analyses



Best *r/s* balance (maximum resolution at equal sensitivity) at ~80% He

#### $E_{\rm C}({\rm B})/E_{\rm C}$

separations in He.

Maxima of  $E_{\rm C}$  for some ions at ~80% He are due to non-Blanc effects, not observed for type C ions previously. These can be modeled *a priori* [8].

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

## Conclusions

design and elucidation of ion geometries. That was impossible for FAIMS because high-field mobilities were a challenge to compute. As with linear IMS, the best hope is analyses in He where ion-molecule potentials are closest to hard-sphere.

For hard-sphere ions,  $E_{\rm C}$  scales as  $E_{\rm D}^3$  must reflect the proportionality of  $\Delta K$  to  $(velocity_{\text{Drift}}/velocity_{\text{Brownian}})^3$ . Then, for gases A and B and cross-sections  $\Omega$ :

$$U_{\rm C}({\rm A}) = [\Omega({\rm A})/\Omega({\rm B})]^3$$
 (1)

With  $A = N_2$  and B = He, the  $\Omega$  ratio is ~1.25 for small and ~1.15 for large peptides. Then the quantity (1) is  $\sim 2$ and ~1.5, respectively, in line with experiment. This agreement is encouraging for the construction of first-principles FAIMS theory for

- In line with theory [8], E<sub>C</sub> for many species top out at ~80 - 90% He - the first observation of non-Blanc behavior for type C ions.
- Maximum resolving power at 80 100% He, exceeds that in N<sub>2</sub> by  $\sim 2$  - 4 times. Best resolution/sensitivity balance at 80% He.
- Effects of He similar to but weaker than those for full-size devices: more energetic collisions shift all gas molecules closer to hard spheres
- Successful estimation of the difference between  $E_{\rm C}$  in N<sub>2</sub> and He is encouraging for the development of predictive model for FAIMS separations using helium
- Pure and mixed  $H_2$  buffers should also work

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was funded in part by the PNNL Technology Assistance Program, PNNL Technology Commercialization Office, NIGMS (8 P41 GM103493-10), and USDoE OBER, and carried out in the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, a DOE national scientific user facility at PNNL.

#### *leferences*

- . A.A. Shvartsburg. Differential Ion Mobility Spectrometry.
- CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (2008). A.A. Shvartsburg, R.D. Smith.
- J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 18, 1672 (2007).
- . A.A. Shvartsburg, R.R. Hudgins, P. Dugourd, M.F. Jarrold. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **30**, 26 (2001).
- 4. J.M. Meek, J.D. Craggs. *Electrical Breakdown of Gases*. Wiley (1978).
- 5. A.A. Shvartsburg, R.D. Smith, A. Wilks, A. Koehl, D. Ruiz-Alonso, B. Boyle. Anal. Chem. 81, 6489 (2009).
- . A.A. Shvartsburg, K. Tang, R.D. Smith, M. Holden, M. Rush,
- A. Thompson, D. Toutoungi. Anal. Chem. 81, 8048 (2009).
- 7. A.A. Shvartsburg, D.C. Prior, K. Tang, R.D. Smith. Anal. Chem. 82, 7649 (2010).
- 8. A.A. Shvartsburg, K. Tang, R.D. Smith. Anal. Chem. 76, 7366 (2004).

**Contact: Dr. Alexandre A. Shvartsburg,** alexandre.shvartsburg@pnnl.gov

#### **Career Opportunities**

For potential openings with the Omics Separations and Mass Spectrometry Group at PNNL, write to: Dick Smith at <u>rds@pnnl.gov;</u> Josh Adkins at <u>Joshua.Adkins@pnnl.gov</u>

### www.omics.pnl.gov